

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/594,708	04/24/2008	T. Randall Lee	96605/28US	9487
23873 7590 05/03/2011 ROBERT W STROZIER, P.L.L.C			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 429			CABRAL, ROBERT S	
BELLAIRE, TX 77402-0429			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/594,708	LEE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
ROBERT CABRAL	1618			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

WHIC Exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, PHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. restors of time may be available under the proxisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SN (9) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.				
- If NO - Failu Any) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will arryive SIX (fig MONITHS from the mailing state of this communication, reto reply within the set or estimated period for reply, will, by statute, cause the mapplication to become ABANDONED (SI SI S				
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1,11,12 and 31-48 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1.11.12 and 31-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	$Replacement\ drawing\ sheet (s)\ including\ the\ correction\ is\ required\ if\ the\ drawing (s)\ is\ objected\ to.\ See\ 37\ CFR\ 1.121 (d).$				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
.,	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
- 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)				

 Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO 948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: . Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 and 31-39 drawn to a composition comprising a nano-particle core and a nano-structure.

Group II, claim(s) 11-12 and 47, drawn to a nano-structure composition comprising a nano-particle core and nano-rods.

Group III, claim(s) 40-46, drawn to a nano-structure composition comprising a nanoparticle core, a nano-structure, and a biocompatible polymer coating.

Group IV, claim(s) 48 a method for treating cancers or disease.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

None of the claimed inventions invention contains a special technical feature. Each of the claimed inventions comprise at least a nano-particle core. However, this feature is not considered a special technical feature because it does not make a contribution over the prior art when each invention is considered as a whole. For instance, Carpenter et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7.235.228) discloses nanoparticles having core/shell architecture. See Abstract.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,708

Art Unit: 1618

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1618

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT CABRAL whose telephone number is 571-270-3769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MICHAEL G. HARTLEY/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618 Robert Cabral Examiner Art Unit 1618

RSC